IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE EIGHTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE GODA RAGHURAM

WRIT PETITION NO.14550 of 2005

Between:

M/s Prasad Media Corporation Limited, D.No.6-1-38/1, NTR Garden Marg, Hyderabad, rep. by its General Manager (Admn.) Sri A.B.S.R Vasanth Prasad, S/o.Sri Ram Mohan Rao, 58 years.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The Commissioner of Police, Hyderabad City Police, Basheerbagh, Hyderabad.
2. Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep.by its Principal Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
3. Youth Advancement Tourism Culture Department, Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep.by its Secretary, (YAT&C), Secretariat, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, direction or order in the nature of writ of mandamus directing the 2nd respondent to dispose of the appeal filed by the petitioner on 03.03.2005 under the provisions of Section 18 of the A.P.Cinema (regulation) Rules, 1970.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.S.RAVI

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR HOME

The Court at the admission stage made the following:

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE GODA RAGHURAM

WRIT PETITION NO.14550 of 2005

-

ORDER:

The petitioner/Corporation submitted a representation to the first respondent seeking enhanced rates for admission to the theatres in the Multiplex administered by the petitioner/Corporation. The second respondent rejected the representation on 19.01.2005. Aggrieved, the petitioner preferred an appeal to the second respondent on 03.03.2005 under Rule 18 of the A.P.Cinemas (Regulations) Rules, 1970. The petitioner's complaint is that the second respondent is indefinitely deferring consideration of its appeal on the pretext that a House Committee (of the A.P.Legislature) is considering certain issues relating to the Multiplex Complex of the petitioner/Corporation and that the second respondent must await the report of the said House Committee.

Today, when the matter is taken up, the learned Government Pleader for Home appears and submits that the second respondent has since been disabused of its earlier view (that it must await the report of the House Committee) and is now willing and ready to expeditiously consider and dispose of the appeal and within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Recording the submission and undertaking, the Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

-

-

-

_____

(GODA RAGHU RAM, J)

8th July 2005

RRB

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//True Copy//

SECTION OFFICER

Copy To:

1. The Commissioner of Police, Hyderabad City Police, Basheerbagh, Hyderabad.

2. The Principal Secretary, Government of Andhra Pradesh, Home Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

3. The Secretary, Youth Advancement Tourism Culture Department, Government of Andhra Pradesh, (YAT&C), Secretariat, Hyderabad.

4. Two CCs to GP for Home, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT).

5. Two CD copies.